

∞ -dim VS classical

GIT

∞

classical

- Is a "generalization" of classical GIT (HN filtrations, Kempf th ...)
- In the language of "Alg. stacks"
- Avoids auxiliary choices and the fact that results are indep of choices.
- Doesn't produce proj mod. sp. automatically but gives conditions for existence of the mod. sp.

- Makes choices
 - $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}_p^d(X)$
 - choice of $m \gg 0$ s.t. $\mathcal{O}_X(-m)^{\oplus P(m)} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{F}$
 - \uparrow
 - $(\mathcal{F}, \eta) \in \mathcal{Q}_m := \text{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_X(-m)^{\oplus P(m)})$
 - $SL_{P(m)} \curvearrowright \mathcal{Q}_m$
 - HM
→ GIT stability is indep. of m .
criterion
 - reductive $G \curvearrowright X$ proj.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mathbb{A}^1}} & \mathbb{A}^1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \sigma \in H^0(X, \mathbb{A}^1) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \quad \rightsquigarrow X_{\sigma} := \{x \mid \sigma(x) \neq 0\} \\
 G \times X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X
 \end{array}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow X \supset_{\text{open}} X^{\text{ss}}(\mathbb{A}^1) = \bigcup_{\sigma} X_{\sigma}$$

- Direct construction of proj. mod. space.

§ 0, Regular principal subschemes & Twists

Def. • D is principal subscheme of X , if

\mathcal{I}_D is locally principal.

• D_1, D_2 principal corresp. to $\mathcal{I}_{D_1}, \mathcal{I}_{D_2}$.

$$D_1 + D_2 \iff \mathcal{I}_{D_1} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{D_2}$$

• T an S -scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(T)$

$t \in T$ is associated to \mathcal{F} if \mathfrak{m}_t is associated to the $\mathcal{O}_{T,t}$ -module \mathcal{F}_t

$\text{Ass}_T(\mathcal{F}) :=$ set of associated points of \mathcal{F} .

• T is an S -scheme, $X_T := X \times_S T$; $D \subset X \rightarrow X_T$ principal

\mathcal{F} is a T -flat finitely presented \mathcal{O}_T -mod.

D is \mathcal{F} -regular if $\forall t \in T, D_t \cap \text{Ass}_{X_t}(\mathcal{F}_{X_t}) = \emptyset$

$D_t =$ fiber of D over t

$X_t =$ $\xrightarrow{\quad} X_T$ over t .

Lemma: $C, D \hookrightarrow X$ principal subschemes.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{Quot}(X)$, S -flat.

if C, D are \mathcal{F} -regular, then:

a) $C+D$ is regular.

b) $\forall T \rightarrow S$, $(\mathcal{O}_x)^{-1} D$ is \mathbb{F}_{x_T} -regular.

c) $\sigma: \mathcal{I}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x$ then:

$\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is inj., Moreover.

$\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is S -flat.

d) locally $\mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}$.

Proof: a), b) follow from definitions.

c) $\sigma: \mathcal{I}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x$, enough to prove it locally.

$\mathcal{I}_D = \langle u \rangle$, $u \in \mathcal{O}_x(X)$, x affine.

exact
seq.

$$\text{Ann}(u) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \xrightarrow{\cdot u} \mathcal{O}_x$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{Coker } d \cong \mathcal{O}_X / \text{Im}(d) \cong \mathcal{O}_X / \text{ker}(\cdot u) \cong \text{Im}(u) = \mathcal{I}_D$$

$$\otimes \mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \text{Ann}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{d \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cdot u \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{F}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow & \nearrow \\ \mathcal{I}_D \cong \text{Coker}(d \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}) & & \end{array}$$

\mathcal{F} is \mathcal{D} -regular

$$\forall s \in S, \quad \mathcal{F}|_{X_s} \xrightarrow{(\cdot u \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}})|_{X_s}} \mathcal{F}|_{X_s}$$

slicing criteria
for flatness
[Tag 00ME]

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ is } S\text{-flat} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cdot u \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{F} \text{ is inj} \\ \mathcal{F}|_{u, \mathcal{F}} \text{ is } S\text{-flat.} \end{cases}$$

$$d) \text{ locally } c) \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{F} \cong \text{Coker}(d \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}})$$

$$c) \Rightarrow \cdot u \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}} \text{ is inj.}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{Im}(d \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \subset \text{ker}(\cdot u \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$$

$$\text{then } \mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F} / 0 \cong \mathcal{F}.$$



Rem: $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{F}$ is inj.

$j^* \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{F}$ is injective

$\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow j^* \mathcal{F}$ is inj \iff restriction of scalars along $j^\#$, (o.e).

$$j: U = X \setminus D \longrightarrow X$$

$$\parallel$$

$$D(\mu)$$

$$j^\#: A \longrightarrow A_\mu.$$

(o.e) is injective from lemma (c).

Def: (Twists). consider $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_D \otimes j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{d_\mu} j_* j^* \mathcal{F}$
(lemma 1 d)

$$\bullet \mathcal{F}(-D) := d_\mu(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_D \otimes \mathcal{F})$$

$$\bullet \mathcal{F}(D) := \max \left\{ \mathcal{E} \subset j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \mid d_\mu(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_D \otimes \mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{F} \subset j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(-D) := d_\mu(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_D \otimes \mathcal{F}) \stackrel{\text{lemma 1}}{\cong} \mathcal{F} \text{ so } \mathcal{F}(-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}.$$

$$\mathcal{F} \subset j_* j^* \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{L}_u(\mathcal{I}_D \otimes \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{F}$$

$$\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(D)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \dots \mathcal{F}(-mD) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(D) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

$$\mathcal{F}(mD) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

local interpretation
 \rightsquigarrow
of j

$$j_* j^* \mathcal{F} = \operatorname{colim}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}(mD)$$

Rem: $\mathcal{F}(mD) := \mathcal{L}_u(\mathcal{I}_{mD} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \stackrel{\text{lemma 1}}{\cong} \mathcal{F}$ locally
 \downarrow
 $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In particular, \mathcal{F} is S -pure of dim $d \Rightarrow$ so is $\mathcal{F}(mD)$
 $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

§1. Stacks of Rational maps:

- $\pi: Y \rightarrow T$ morph of schemes of finite type.

$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(Y)$.

\mathcal{F} is T -pure of dimension d if \mathcal{F} is T -flat,
 finitely presented and $\forall t \in T$, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t$ is pure of dim. d .

"
 $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}|_{Y_t}$, $Y_t =$ fiber of Y over T .

$\rightarrow \text{Coh}^d(X) := (\text{Sch}/S)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Groupoids}$

$T \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Groupoids of } T\text{-pure } \mathcal{O}_{X_T}\text{-mod} \\ \text{of dim } d \end{array} \right\}$

$\rightarrow \text{Coh}^d(X)_{\text{rat}} := (\text{Abb Sch}/S)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Cats}$

$T \mapsto \left\{ (D, \mathcal{E}) \left| \begin{array}{l} (1) \mathcal{E} \text{ is a } T\text{-pure sheaf of} \\ \text{dimension } d \text{ on } X_T. \\ (2) D \hookrightarrow X_T \text{ is an } \mathcal{E}\text{-reg.} \\ \text{principal subscheme of } X_T \end{array} \right. \right\}$

$A = (D_1, \mathcal{E}_1), B = (D_2, \mathcal{E}_2) \in \text{Coh}^d(X)_{\text{rat}}(T)$

$\text{Morph}_{\text{Coh}^d(X)_{\text{rat}}}(A, B) = \left\{ (i, \psi) \left| \begin{array}{l} (1) i: D_1 \hookrightarrow D_2 \text{ inclusion} \\ (2) \psi: \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \text{ a mono that is} \\ \text{an iso } \psi|_{X \setminus D_2} \end{array} \right. \right\}$

Rem: $\exists \eta: \text{Coh}^d(X) \longrightarrow \text{Coh}^d(X)_{\text{rat}}$
 $\mathcal{E} \longmapsto (\phi, \mathcal{E})$

§ 2. Abelian Grassmannians of pure sheaves

Comma cat.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow G \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}$$

$$(c|e, p: F(c) \rightarrow G(e))$$

natural transf.

$$F, D: \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{D}$$

$$\eta(T): \mathcal{C}(T) \xrightarrow{\eta(T)} \mathcal{D}(T)$$

Def: (D, \mathcal{E}) an S -point in $\text{Coh}^d(X)_{\text{rat}}$.

Abelian Grassmannian

$$Gr_{X, D, \mathcal{E}} = \text{Coh}^d(X) \times_S \text{Coh}^d(X)_{\text{rat}}$$

$$\text{Coh}^d(X) \cong$$

$$\downarrow \alpha$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$S \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Coh}^d(X)_{\text{rat}} \quad (\phi, F)$$

$$T \longmapsto (D, \mathcal{E}) \longleftarrow (i, \psi)$$

$$i: \phi \hookrightarrow D \quad \checkmark$$

ψ a mono s.t. $\psi|_{x \rightarrow D}$ is an iso.

$$(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}, (i, \psi))$$

$$Gr_{x, D \in}(\mathcal{T}) = \left\{ (\mathcal{F}, \psi) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F} \text{ is } \mathcal{T}\text{-pure of dim } d \text{ s.t.} \\ D_{\mathcal{T}} \text{ is } \mathcal{F}\text{-reg} \\ \psi: \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \text{ mono s.t.} \\ \psi|_{U_{\mathcal{T}}} \text{ is an iso} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$(\mathcal{F}_1, \psi_1) \stackrel{\bar{\Phi}}{\cong} (\mathcal{F}_2, \psi_2)$ is an iso $\mathcal{F}_1 \cong \mathcal{F}_2$

s.t. $\bar{\Phi} \circ \psi_1 = \psi_2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}} & \mathcal{F}_2 \\ \psi_1 \uparrow & & \uparrow \psi_2 \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}} \end{array}$$

Prop: $Gr_{X,D,E}$ is represented by a strict ind-scheme that is ind-proj over S .

Def: $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

$$Gr_{X,D,E}^{\leq N}(T) = \left\{ (F, \psi) \text{ in } Gr_{X,D,E}(T) \text{ s.t. } \left. \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_T \subset F \subset \varepsilon_T(ND_T) \end{array} \right\}$$

Rem: $\forall N \in M, \varepsilon_T(ND_T) \hookrightarrow \varepsilon_T(MD_T)$

\leadsto Natural inclusions $Gr_{X,D,E}^{\leq N} \subset Gr_{X,D,E}^{\leq M}$

Lemma 2: $Gr_{X,D,E} = \varinjlim_{N > 0} Gr_{X,D,E}^{\leq N}$ as presheaves on AbSch/S .

Proof: $T \in \text{AbSch}/S$, let $(F, \psi) \in Gr_{X,D,E}(T)$

$\exists? N > 0 : (F, \psi) \in Gr_{X,D,E}^{\leq N}(T)$.

$X \xrightarrow{b} S$ is of finite pres.

$X_T \xrightarrow{b_T} T$ \longrightarrow , \leadsto l.o.p., q -sepr, q -compact.

Tabb $\hookrightarrow b_T^{-1}(T) = X_T$ is q -compact

\hookrightarrow assume X_T is affine, D_T cut out by $x \in G_{X_T}$

$\hookrightarrow F$ and E_T are finite presented. without π -torsion.

\hookrightarrow choose a set of generators of F .

$$(e_i)_{i \in I}, \quad |I| < \infty.$$

By assumption $F[\frac{1}{\pi}] = E_T[\frac{1}{\pi}]$

So $\forall i \in I, \exists m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t. $\pi^{m_i} e_i \in E_T$

$\hookrightarrow N := \max_{i \in I} \{m_i\} \hookrightarrow F \subset \pi^{-N} E_T = E_T(N D_T)$

Lemma 3: $\forall N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, Gr_{X, D, E}^{\leq N}$ is repres.

by a disj. union of schemes that are proj. of finite pres. over S .

Moreover, $\forall N \leq M, Gr_{X, D, E}^{\leq N} \hookrightarrow Gr_{X, D, E}^{\leq M}$

Proof: $\forall N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $Q_{X, D, \varepsilon}^N := \text{Quot}_{X/S}(\mathcal{E}(ND)/\mathcal{E})$

So $Q_{X, D, \varepsilon}^N(\tau) = \left\{ (\mathcal{F}, q) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}: \tau\text{-flat on } X_\tau \\ \mathcal{E}_\tau(ND_\tau)/\mathcal{E}_\tau \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right\}$

Mistake (2nd line of Proof of lemma 3.16) p 21.

Idea :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_{X, D, \varepsilon}^{\leq N+1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\phi_{N+1}} & Q_{X, D, \varepsilon}^{N+1} \\
 \uparrow g_N & \circ & \uparrow t_N \\
 G_{X, D, \varepsilon}^N & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\phi_N} & Q_{X, D, \varepsilon}^N
 \end{array} \quad (\star)$$

i) construct t_N a closed immersion

ii) ϕ_N

iii) t_N

iv) $\phi_N = t_N^{-1}$

v) \star commutes.

vi) $t_N : Q_{X, D, \varepsilon}^N \longrightarrow Q_{X, D, \varepsilon}^{N+1}$

$$T \mapsto \frac{\varepsilon_T((N+1) \Omega_T)}{\ker}$$